arXiv:1610.01218v1 [math-ph] 4 Oct 2016

Reconstruction phases in the three-vortex and four-vortex problem

Antonio Hernández-Garduño
Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana – Iztapalapa
Av. San Rafael Atrlixco # 186
09340 México D.F., México
ahg@xanum.uam.mx

Banavara N. Shashikanth
Department of Mechanical Engineering
New Mexico State University
MSC 3450, P.O. Box 30001
88003-8001 Las Cruces NM, U.S.A.
shashi@nmsu.edu

October 4, 2016

Abstract

Pure reconstruction phases—geometric and dynamic—are computed in the N-point-vortex model in the plane, for the cases $N = 3$ and $N = 4$. The phases are computed relative to a metric-orthogonal connection on appropriately defined principal fiber bundles. The metric is similar to the kinetic energy metric for point masses but with the masses replaced by vortex strengths. The geometric phases are shown to be proportional to areas enclosed by the closed orbit on the symmetry reduced spaces. More interestingly, simple formulae are obtained for the dynamic phases, analogous to Montgomery's result for the free rigid body, which show them to be proportional to the time period of the symmetry reduced closed orbits. For the case $N = 3$ a non-zero total vortex strength is assumed. For the case $N = 4$ the vortex strengths are assumed equal.

Keywords: N-vortex problem, reconstruction, holonomy, geometric phase, dynamic phase.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Preliminaries **5**
2.1 The N-point-vortex model in the plane. 5
2.2 Principal fiber bundles, connections and holonomy. 7

3 Reconstruction phases for the 3-vortex problem. **12**
3.1 Transformation to Jacobi-Bertrand-Haretu coordinates 12
3.2 Transformation to action-angle coordinates 13
3.3 Reduction by rotational symmetry 14
3.4 Metric and connection 16
3.5 The geometric phase 19
3.6 The dynamic phase 21
3.7 Total phase 23
3.8 The case of identical vortices 23

4 Reconstruction phases with four identical vortices **24**
4.1 Coordinate transformations 25
4.2 The connection 28
4.3 Reconstruction phases 29

5 Summary and future directions. **31**

A Appendix: Independence of the choice of a JBH chart. **32**

B Appendix: The Aref-Pomphrey expressions for the time period in the case of three identical vortices. **36**

1 Introduction: symmetry and reconstruction phases

Reconstruction phases are drifts in symmetry group directions at the end of a periodic evolution on the symmetry reduced phase space/configuration space of a dynamical system.[1] Mathematically, reconstruction phases are elements of the symmetry Lie group of a dynamical system. These elements typically consist of a *dynamic phase*, a *geometric phase* and an element that

[1]The occurrence of the word 'phase' in both 'reconstruction phase' and 'phase space' is, of course, merely a coincidence.

is their group composition termed the *total phase*. In the case of a vector group, one thus obtains the paradigm:

$$Total\ phase = Geometric\ phase\ +\ Dynamic\ phase$$

Much of the interest in phases has focused, naturally, on the geometric phase. The geometric phase is determined by the choice of a purely geometric construction on the principal fiber bundle structure of the system's (unreduced) phase space/configuration space, called *a connection*. The geometric phase is, in fact, an element of the holonomy subgroup determined by the connection and is therefore also known as the holonomy of the connection. It depends on geometric features of the periodic orbit such as, for example, the area enclosed by it, and is independent of dynamic features such as time parametrization of the orbit.

Since the total phase, for a given evolution, is independent of the connection, the choice of the connection is thus equivalent to a choice of the above splitting of the total phase into a geometric and a dynamic part. Typically, however, in many systems of interest there exists a naturally occurring connection. For example, in simple mechanical systems the system kinetic energy equips configuration space with a metric which defines a connection via metric orthogonality.

Despite (a) the fact that the notion of holonomy in differential geometry has existed independently of applications to phases, see, for example, Kobayashi and Nomizu [21], (b) the well-known existence of at least one classical dynamical system exhibiting phases—the Foucault pendulum—and (c) the existence of Pancharatnam's phase in classical optics [32, 5] and the Aharanov-Bohm effect in quantum mechanics [2], the interest in phases, in particular geometric phases, was really ignited by Berry's paper [4]. Berry's geometric phase was in the context of parameter-dependent quantum Hamiltonian systems in which the parameters undergo an infinitely slow, or adiabatic, cyclic evolution.[2] The relation of Berry's phase to holonomy was clarified by Simon [35]. Around the same time Guichardet [12] proved the existence of a geometric phase in a model of classical molecular dynamics by showing that vibratory motions can lead to net rotations, also pointing out the relation to the 'falling cat' problem; see also Iwai [15]. The most comprehensive treatment of phases and their relation to holonomy, connections and the general subject of dynamical systems with symmetry is the work of Marsden, Montgomery and Ratiu [25]. Following their terminology, we will

[2]For this reason perhaps there still exists a misconception that geometric phases require adiabatic evolutions for their existence.

distinguish between *adiabatic phases* and *pure reconstruction phases*. The former require adiabatic evolutions and the latter do not. The lack of the adiabaticity requirement makes phases significantly more interesting since it allows for the possibility of observation or experimental verification. Indeed the Foucault pendulum phase and Pancharatnam's phase are two such examples.

In most papers on phases, little or no attention is paid to obtaining simple exact formulas for the dynamic phase. This is not surprising since the dynamic phase depends on the system's dynamics and for a nonlinear dynamical system such formulas may not even exist. A noteworthy exception is Montgomery's work on phases in the dynamics of a free rigid body where, in addition to a geometric phase formula, a simple exact formula for the dynamic phase is obtained; see [28]. In the framework of Poisson reduction, Blaom [6] has also obtained some general formulas for dynamic and geometric phases.

This paper focuses on pure reconstruction phases in classical fluid mechanics. In particular, we examine phases in a popular model of coherent vorticity in incompressible, homogeneous, inviscid flows—the N-point-vortex model. The cases $N = 3$ and $N = 4$ are considered. Adiabatic phases have been computed in this model previously by Newton [30] and by Shashikanth and Newton [36], and also in a model of interacting vortex patches by Shashikanth and Newton [37]. Pure reconstruction phases have been computed in an axisymmetric model of vortex rings in $\mathbb{R}^3$ by Shashikanth and Marsden [38]. To the best of our knowledge, pure reconstruction phases in the N-point-vortex model, in particular dynamic phases, have not been computed before.

The main results are summarized below.

1. In the three vortex problem, formulas for the geometric and dynamic phase (pure reconstruction phases) are obtained for vortices of arbitrary strengths, assuming that the total vortex strength and the angular impulse are different from zero.

2. In the four vortex problem, formulas for the geometric and dynamic phase (pure reconstruction phases) are obtained for vortices of equal strengths.

3. Simple exact formulas are obtained for the dynamic phase which show that in both cases it is proportional to the time period of the closed periodic orbit on the respective symmetry reduced spaces, analogous to Montgomery's formula for the free rigid body.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In the next section, brief introductions to the N-point-vortex model and to the differential geometric and geometric mechanics concepts necessary for understanding reconstruction phases are provided. Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to the computation of phases in the three and four vortex problems, respectively. Section 5 presents a summary and future directions. The main body of the paper is followed by two appendices on different aspects of the three vortex problem, which are not essential for an understanding of the main results of the paper and may be skipped in a first reading.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, some preliminaries related to (i) the N-point-vortex model and (ii) the differential geometric notions of principal fiber bundles, connections and holonomy, are established.

2.1 The N-point-vortex model in the plane.

The N-point-vortex model in the plane is a model for the dynamics of coherent vorticity in incompressible, homogeneous and inviscid fluid flows governed by Euler's equation in $\mathbb{R}^2$. In this model, it is assumed that the vorticity is concentrated at N points. The vorticity field ω, using the complex representation of the plane, is expressed as the sum of N Dirac delta functions centered on $z_\alpha = x_\alpha + iy_\alpha$, the locations of the point vortices,

$$\omega(z) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \Gamma_\alpha \delta(z - z_\alpha),$$

where the Γ_α's are the strengths of the vortices.

Equations of motion, Hamiltonian formulation and symmetries. The equations of motion of the N vortices are

$$\dot{z}_\alpha = \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha}^{N} \Gamma_\beta \frac{z_\alpha - z_\beta}{|z_\alpha - z_\beta|^2}$$

These equations are derived from Euler's equation in $\mathbb{R}^2$ through its formulation in terms of the vorticity field

$$\frac{D\omega}{Dt} := \omega_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\omega = 0\,.$$

The equations of motion are equivalent to Hamilton's equations $\mathbf{i}_{X_h}\Omega = dh$ with Hamiltonian

$$h = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{\alpha<\beta}\Gamma_\alpha\Gamma_\beta \ln|z_\alpha - z_\beta|\,,$$

and symplectic form

$$\Omega_0(z,w) = -\operatorname{Im}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\Gamma_\alpha z_\alpha \bar{w}_\alpha\,. \tag{1}$$

The Hamiltonian and symplectic form are invariant with respect to the diagonal action of $SE(2)$ on the phase space of the system identified with $\mathbb{C}^N$, or $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$, (minus collision points):

$$z_i \mapsto e^{i\theta}z_i + a$$

$$(\theta, a) \in SE(2) \cong S^1 \times \mathbb{C}$$

The N-vortex model admits three conserved quantities related to the $SE(2)$ symmetries and two more, related to time-translation and rescaling symmetries:

symbol	**name**	**symmetry**
Z_0	center of circulation (two quantities)	translational
Θ_0	second moment of circulation[3]	rotational
Ψ_0	finite part of kinetic energy	temporal
V_0	virial	rescaling

$$Z_0 = \Gamma_{\text{tot}}^{-1}\sum_k \Gamma_k z_k\,, \quad \Theta_0 = \sum_k \Gamma_k |z_k|^2\,, \tag{2}$$

$$\Psi_0 = -\sum_{n<k}\Gamma_n\Gamma_k \ln|z_n - z_k|\,,$$

$$V_0 = \frac{1}{2i}\sum_k \Gamma_k(\bar{z}_k\dot{z}_k - z_k\dot{\bar{z}}_k) = \sum_{n<k}\Gamma_n\Gamma_k\,. \tag{3}$$

The expression

$$M = \sum_{n<k}\Gamma_n\Gamma_k|z_n - z_k|^2$$

[3]In the point vortex literature, this is also referred to as the *angular impulse*.

is also a conserved quantity. It is not independent, since it is expressed in terms of Θ_0 and Z_0:

$$M = \Gamma_{\text{tot}}\Theta_0 - \Gamma_{\text{tot}}^2|Z_0|^2\,,$$

where

$$\Gamma_{\text{tot}} := \sum_k \Gamma_k\,.$$

2.2 Principal fiber bundles, connections and holonomy.

In this section, basic differential geometric notions of principal fiber bundles, connections and holonomy are recalled. For a more detailed treatment of these notions, the reader is referred to the classic books by Kobayashi and Nomizu [21]. The discussion on connections and holonomy, and their relation to phases, is a synopsis of material from the texts [24, 25]; see also [9].

Consider G a compact Lie group acting freely on a manifold E,

$$\varphi : G \times E \longrightarrow E\,.$$

The standard shorthand $g\cdot p := \varphi_g(p) := \varphi(g,p)$ will be used. Let $B = E/G$, the space of group orbits. Then B is a smooth manifold and the canonical projection

$$\pi : E \longrightarrow B$$

is a smooth submersion. We call E a principal fiber bundle over B. The fibers of π are diffeomorphic to G. Moreover, the space $V := \ker \mathbf{D}\pi$ forms a vector subbundle (of the tangent bundle TE) called the vertical subbundle. Elements of V are tangent to the fibers and are called vertical vector fields.

Each $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ (the Lie algebra of G), induces a vector field on E, the infinitesimal generator of the group action, defined by

$$\xi_E(p) = \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} \exp(t\xi)\cdot p\,, \quad p \in E\,.$$

Every element of the vertical subbundle V is of this form.

An *Ehresmann connection* on E is a smooth subbundle H of TE such that

$$TE = H \oplus V\,.$$

If the condition

$$H_{(g\cdot p)} = d\varphi_g \cdot H_p \tag{4}$$

is satisfied then H is a *principal connection*. Associated to an Ehresmann connection we have the vertical and horizontal operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ver} &: T_pE \longrightarrow V_p \\ \mathrm{Hor} &: T_pE \longrightarrow H_p \end{aligned}$$

defined by the splitting

$$v_p = \mathrm{Ver}(v_p) + \mathrm{Hor}(v_p)\,.$$

The Ver operator induces a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form α on E defined by

$$\alpha(\mathrm{Ver}(v_p)) = \xi, \quad \alpha(\mathrm{Hor}(v_p)) = 0\,, \tag{5}$$

where $\xi_E(p) = \mathrm{Ver}(v_p)$. If H is a principal connection, the connection one-form also satisfies

$$(\varphi_g^*\alpha)(v_p) = \mathrm{Ad}_g(\alpha(v_p))\,, \tag{6}$$

which is the equivalent of the equivariance condition (4).

Holonomy

We say that a curve c_b on B is lifted to a curve $\bar{c}$ on E if $\bar{c}\,'(t)$ is horizontal and $\pi(\bar{c}(t)) = c_b(t)$ for all t. An element on the fiber over $c_b(t)$ is *parallel transported* along horizontal lifts.

Given a closed curve (a *loop*)[4] on the base, $c_b : [0,1] \longrightarrow B$, the *holonomy* of the connection at $p = c_b(0) = c_b(1)$ is the operator $\mathrm{Hol} : F_p \longrightarrow F_p$ induced by parallel transport, where $F_p := \pi^{-1}(p)$. When G is abelian, the holonomy of a loop on B is (cf. [25]):

$$g(1) := \exp\left[-\int_0^1 (\sigma^*\alpha)(c_b'(s))ds\right] = \exp\left[-\iint \sigma^* d\alpha\right]\,, \tag{7}$$

where $\sigma : U \subset B \to E$ is a local section ($c_b \subset U$). The second equality, which follows from Stokes' theorem, holds only if the loop c is the boundary of a two-dimensional submanifold of B. For Abelian groups, $\mathrm{Ad}_g = \mathrm{Id}$, and the equivariance condition for the connection 1-form ensures that the definition of $g(1)$ is independent of the choice of section. Indeed, if σ_1, σ_2 are two sections, with $\sigma_2 = \phi_g \circ \sigma_1$, then $\sigma_2^*\alpha = \sigma_1^*\phi_g^*\alpha = \sigma_1^*\alpha$.

[4] Assume that time has been rescaled so that a period occurs over the time interval $[0,1]$.

A given (arbitrary) connection on a principal fiber bundle allows one to solve the *dynamic reconstruction problem* (i.e. the opposite of reduction) for a given curve in B. This is discussed for Hamiltonian systems with symmetry in the next few paragraphs and, in particular, it is shown how phases are a special feature of this reconstruction process.

Hamiltonian systems with symmetry

In the case of Hamiltonian systems with symmetry, there is a notion of symplectic reduction inducing a fiber bundle $\pi : \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_\mu$, as follows.

Suppose that $E = \mathcal{P}$, where $(\mathcal{P}, \omega)$ is a symplectic manifold on which the Lie group G acts on the left by canonical transformations, i.e. $\varphi_g^* \omega = \omega$. A *momentum map* is a map $\mathbf{J} : \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that, for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$X_{J(\xi)} = \xi_{\mathcal{P}} \,,$$

where $J(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{P})$ is given by

$$J(\xi)(x) = \langle \mathbf{J}(x), \xi \rangle$$

and X_f denotes the Hamiltonian vector field induced by $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{P})$, i.e. $\mathrm{i}_{X_f}\omega = df$.

Let $H \in C^\infty(\mathcal{P})$ (the *hamiltonian function*). We say that the Lie group G is a *symmetry group* of $(\mathcal{P}, \omega, H)$ if $\varphi_g^* H = H$ for all $g \in G$. In other words, H is invariant with respect to the action of G on $\mathcal{P}$. In this case *Noether's theorem* states that if $\mathbf{J}$ is a momentum map of the action of G then $\mathbf{J}$ is constant along the flow lines of X_H.

A momentum map $\mathbf{J} : \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is *equivariant* if $\mathrm{Ad}^*_{g^{-1}} \circ \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J} \circ \varphi_g$. We say that the action of a Lie group G on a symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{P}, \omega)$ is *Hamiltonian* if it admits an equivariant momentum map. Now, suppose that G acts on $(\mathcal{P}, \omega)$ in a Hamiltonian fashion and $\mathbf{J} : \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is its momentum map. Further, suppose that $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a regular value of $\mathbf{J}$, so that $\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)$ (the inverse image of $\mathbf{J}$) is a smooth submanifold, and that G_μ (the isotropy subgroup of μ) acts freely on $\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)$. Then

$$\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_\mu \tag{8}$$

is a G_μ-bundle with base space

$$\mathcal{P}_\mu := \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)/G_\mu \,, \tag{9}$$

which is called the *reduced phase space*.

Remark 2.1. The symmetry group for the N-vortex problem is $SE(2)$ and it does not admit an equivariant momentum map unless $\Gamma_{\text{tot}} = 0$ (see [1]). However, for the case $\Gamma_{\text{tot}} \neq 0$, a *reduction by stages* can be performed where one first fixes the center of vorticity at the origin (this center is guaranteed to exist when $\Gamma_{\text{tot}} \neq 0$). The remaining symmetry is encoded by $SO(2)$, and its associated momentum map is indeed equivariant.

The symplectic form ω on $\mathcal{P}$ induces a symplectic form ω_μ on P_μ, which is the unique two-form on $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ such that $i_\mu^* \omega = \pi_\mu^* \omega_\mu$. Here i_μ and π_μ are, respectively, the inclusion and projection maps:

$$\mathcal{P} \xleftarrow{i_\mu} \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu) \xrightarrow{\pi_\mu} \mathcal{P}_\mu$$

(This is the content of the *Marsden-Weinstein reduction theorem* [27].) Further, a Hamiltonian function H on $\mathcal{P}$ induces the Hamiltonian H_μ on $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ via $\pi_\mu^* H_\mu = H$ and thus a hamiltonian flow on $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ is determined.

Now, suppose that $c_\mu : I \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_\mu$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$, is a solution trajectory starting at $c_{\mu 0}$ of the Hamiltonian vector field associated to H_μ; i.e. it solves the initial value problem

$$\frac{d}{dt} c_\mu(t) = X_{H_\mu}(c_\mu)$$

with $c_\mu(0) = c_{\mu 0}$. One then wishes to find the solution trajectory $c : I \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$ starting at c_0 of the original Hamiltonian vector field H, i.e. solving the initial value problem

$$\frac{d}{dt} c(t) = X_H(c)\,, \tag{10}$$

with $c(0) = c_0$, and such that $\pi_\mu(c_\mu(t)) = c(t), \forall t \in I$. This is the *reconstruction problem*.

Given an arbitrary principal connection α on π_μ, the reconstruction problem can be solved in two steps. First, a horizontal lift $\bar{c}(t)$ of $c_\mu(t)$ is found, with $\bar{c}(0) = c_0$. Second, the $\mathfrak{g}$-valued function $\xi(t) := \alpha(X_H(\bar{c}(t)))$ is computed. If $g(t)$ is the solution to the differential equation

$$\dot{g}(t) = \mathbf{D}_e L_g(\xi(t)), \quad g(0) = e, \tag{11}$$

where $L_g : G \to G$ is left translation by g and $\mathbf{D}_e L_g$ is its derivative map at the identity element e, then the trajectory

$$c(t) := g(t)\bar{c}(t) \tag{12}$$

solves the reconstruction problem. That is to say, $c(t)$ is a solution of (10).

The objective, however, is not to solve the reconstruction problem. The reduced system on $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ is, in most cases, still nonlinear and finding a solution trajectory $c_\mu(t)$ can prove to be difficult or even impossible. However, restricting to closed trajectories $c_\mu : [0,1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_\mu$, the above reconstruction ideas, applied at the initial point $c_{\mu 0}$ (or point of return), naturally lead to the concepts of geometric phase and dynamic phase. Hence the terminology 'reconstruction phases'.

At the end of period 1, both $\bar{c}(t)$ and $c(t)$ return to the fiber over $c_{\mu 0}$, thus providing the relations

$$\bar{c}(1) = \bar{g}(1) \cdot \bar{c}(0), \quad c(1) = h(1) \cdot c(0), \quad \bar{g}(1), h(1) \in G_\mu \,.$$

The element $\bar{g}(1)$ is the *geometric phase* and is computed using (7) when G_μ is Abelian. The element $h(1)$ is the *total phase*. Combining the above and (12) gives another relation

$$h(1) = g(1)\, \bar{g}(1), \quad g(1) \in G_\mu \,.$$

The element $g(1)$ is the *dynamic phase*.

The dynamic phase is computed, in principle, by integrating (11). For G_μ Abelian, the task is somewhat simplified as follows. The equivariance condition (6) becomes $\phi_g^* \alpha = \alpha$ and the G_μ-symmetry of X_H ($\mathbf{D}\varphi_g \cdot X_H(p) = X_H(\varphi_g \cdot p)$) implies

$$\xi(t) := \alpha(X_H(\bar{c}(t))) = \alpha\left(X_H(c(t))\right) \,. \tag{13}$$

Moreover, the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{g}_\mu \to G_\mu$ is onto [24] so that at each t there exists $\eta(t) \in \mathfrak{g}_\mu$ such that $\exp(\eta(t)) = g(t)$. Differentiate the exponential map at η with g fixed to obtain the linear map $\mathbf{D}_\eta \exp : T_\eta \mathfrak{g} \equiv \mathfrak{g} \to T_g G$ and the equation

$$\mathbf{D}_\eta \exp(\eta'(t)) = (\mathbf{D}_e L_g)\, \xi(t) \,.$$

The above is an equation between derivatives of maps and holds irrespective of the base points (η, g). In particular, applied at $(0, e)$, one obtains

$$\frac{d\eta}{dt} = \xi(t)$$

(see Warner [41], for example, for the proof that $\mathbf{D}_\eta \exp$, at $\eta = 0$, is the identity). The general formula for the dynamic phase, for Abelian G_μ, is therefore

$$g(1) = \exp(\eta(1)) = \exp\left(\int_0^1 \xi(t) dt\right), \tag{14}$$

after noting that $g(0) = e$ and $\eta(0) = 0$. Obtaining a simple exact expression from the above depends on the form of $\xi(t)$ and this, in turn, depends on the form of the Hamiltonian H. It turns out, as will be shown later, that in the three-and-four vortex problems the Hamiltonian H does indeed have a special form which results in a remarkably simple expression for the dynamic phase.

Remark. The notation for curves/trajectories introduced in this section is consistently used in the rest of the paper. Namely, the letter c is used, (i) with an appropriate subscript for curves on symmetry reduced spaces, (ii) with a bar on top for horizontal curves and (iii) with no embellishments for reconstructed trajectories.

3 Reconstruction phases for the 3-vortex problem.

In this section we describe a sequence of three canonical transformations that implement symplectic reduction of the three vortex problem.

3.1 Transformation to Jacobi-Bertrand-Haretu coordinates

Let us introduce the following sequence of canonical transformations. Recall that $\Gamma_{\text{tot}} = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3$ (the total vortex strength), which we assume to be non-zero.

Define $T_1 : \mathbb{C}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ by

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto (Z_0, r, s)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_{\text{tot}}} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Gamma_j \, z_j \quad \text{(center of vorticity)}\,, \\ r &= z_2 - z_1\,, \\ s &= z_3 - \frac{\Gamma_1 \, z_1 + \Gamma_2 \, z_2}{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

It is verified that T_1 is invertible (in fact, $\det T_1 = 1$). Transformation T_1 accomplishes reduction to the center of vorticity frame by setting Z_0 at the origin. Vectors r and s are the Jacobi-Bertrand-Haretu coordinates of the system.

In agreement with (1), let Ω_0 denotes the symplectic form for the three-vortex problem:

$$\Omega_0 := \Gamma_1\, dx_1 \wedge dy_1 + \Gamma_2\, dx_2 \wedge dy_2 + \Gamma_3\, dx_3 \wedge dy_3\,, \tag{16}$$

with $z_k = x_k + iy_k$. The symplectic form after T_1 becomes

$$\Omega_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_1^*\Omega_0 = \Gamma_{\text{tot}}\, dZ_{0x} \wedge dZ_{0y} + A\, dr_x \wedge dr_y + B\, ds_x \wedge ds_y\,,$$

where

$$A := \frac{\Gamma_1\Gamma_2}{\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2}\,, \quad B := \frac{(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2)\Gamma_3}{\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3}\,, \tag{17}$$

and the subindices x and y indicate real and imaginary parts.

Remark. Apart from the coefficients $\Gamma_{\text{tot}}, A, B$, which depend on the vortex strengths, Ω_1 retains the basic structure of Ω_0, namely that conjugate coordinates are the real and imaginary parts of each complex coordinate. This is the distinctive feature of using Jacobi-Bertrand-Haretu coordinates.

3.2 Transformation to action-angle coordinates

Define $T_2 : \mathbb{C}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2$ by

$$(Z_0, r, s) \mapsto (K_x, K_y\,;\; j_1, j_2\,;\; \theta_1, \theta_2)$$

with

$$Z_0 = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{\Gamma_{\text{tot}}}}\,(K_x + iK_y)$$

and

$$r = \frac{\sqrt{2j_1}\, e^{i\theta_1}}{\sqrt{A}}\,, \quad s = \frac{\sqrt{2j_2}\, e^{i\theta_2}}{\sqrt{B}} \tag{18}$$

with A and B as in (17). Hence

$$\text{sign}(j_1) = \text{sign}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{sign}(j_2) = \text{sign}(B)\,. \tag{19}$$

Transformation T_2 is akin to passage to polar coordinates of r and s. The coefficients $1/\sqrt{A}$ and $1/\sqrt{B}$ accomplish the task of cancelling-out the coefficients accompanying the terms in Ω_1, so that we get the standard symplectic form:

$$\Omega_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_2^*\Omega_1 = dK_x \wedge dK_y + dj_1 \wedge d\theta_1 + dj_2 \wedge d\theta_2\,.$$

Reduction by translational symmetry is achieved by setting the center of vorticity at the origin: $K_x = K_y = 0$.

3.3 Reduction by rotational symmetry

After reducing by translational symmetry ($K_x = K_y = 0$), the hamiltonian depends on θ_1 and θ_2 only through their difference. In order to have the (semi-) sum and difference of θ_1 and θ_2 as coordinates, we introduce a third canonical transformation $T_3 : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2$ by

$$(K_x, K_y\,;\; j_1, j_2\,;\; \theta_1, \theta_2) \mapsto (\tilde{K}_x, \tilde{K}_y\,;\; I_1, I_2\,;\; \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$$

through the (type II) generating function

$$G_2 = K_x \tilde{K}_y + j_1(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) + j_2(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)$$

with the relations

$$\theta_k = \frac{\partial G_2}{\partial j_k}\,, \quad I_k = \frac{\partial G_2}{\partial \varphi_k}\,, \quad k = 1, 2$$

and $K_y = \partial G_2/\partial K_x$, $\tilde{K}_x = \partial G_2/\partial \tilde{K}_y$. That is to say,

$$(\tilde{K}_x, \tilde{K}_y) = (K_x, K_y)\,,$$

and

$$I_1 = j_2 - j_1\,, \qquad I_2 = j_1 + j_2\,, \tag{20}$$

$$\varphi_1 = \frac{\theta_2 - \theta_1}{2}\,, \qquad \varphi_2 = \frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2}\,. \tag{21}$$

Note that, being half the angle between vectors r and s, $\varphi_1 \in [0, \pi]$.

Since T_3 is defined through a generating function, $\Omega_3 := T_3^* \Omega_2$ is again the standard symplectic form

$$\Omega_3 = d\tilde{K}_x \wedge d\tilde{K}_y + dI_1 \wedge d\varphi_1 + dI_2 \wedge d\varphi_2\,.$$

Let P_0 represent all vortex configurations (including collisions) for which the center of vorticity is at the origin:

$$P_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid \sum \Gamma_i z_i = 0\}\,.$$

Then P_0 is parametrized by the canonical coordinates $(I_1, I_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$, with $(\tilde{K}_x, \tilde{K}_y) = (0, 0)$.

Note that φ_2 keeps track of rigid rotations of the vortex configuration. In other words, $SO(2)$ acts on P_0 through the rule

$$\theta \cdot (I_1, I_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = (I_1, I_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2 + \theta)\,. \tag{22}$$

Thus, at every $p \in P_0$, the vertical space is

$$V_p = \text{span}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_2}\right) .$$

Since the Hamiltonian is cyclic in φ_2, then I_2 is a constant of motion. Moreover,

$$\mathbf{i}_{\partial/\partial\varphi_2}\Omega_3 = -dI_2 \,,$$

and so the real-valued function defined as $\mathbf{J}(I_1, I_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = -I_2$ is the momentum map of the SO(2) action. Since $\partial/\partial\varphi_2 = \partial\left(e^{i\theta}z_1, e^{i\theta}z_2, e^{i\theta}z_3\right)/\partial\theta = \sum_k(-y_k\,\partial/\partial x_k + x_k\,\partial/\partial y_k)$, it follows that

$$I_2 = \frac{\Theta_0}{2} = -\mathbf{J}(I_1, I_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = -\mu \,, \tag{23}$$

where Θ_0 is the second moment of circulation (2). The symbol μ, introduced earlier, is adopted henceforth for the image of $\mathbf{J}$ since it is standard notation. Throughout we will assume $\mu \neq 0$.

With reference to (8) and (9), consider now the bundle $\pi_\mu : \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_\mu$, where the symplectic reduced space

$$\mathcal{P}_\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu) \,/\, SO(2)$$

is parametrized by (I_1, φ_1). Notice that $\mu^2 - I_1^2 = 4j_1j_2$, so that whether I_1 remains bounded depends on $\text{sign}(j_1j_2)$; we elaborate on this below. Notice also that, regardless of the value of φ_1, $I_1 = +\mu$ ($I_1 = -\mu$) corresponds to $j_1 = 0$ ($j_2 = 0$), which in turn corresponds to a binary collision of vortices Γ_1 and Γ_2 (a collinear configuration with Γ_3 at the center of vorticity of Γ_1 and Γ_2).

Let us now look at how the boundedness of the dynamics depends on the vortex strengths. From (17) and (19),

$$\text{sign}(j_1j_2) = \text{sign}(AB) = \text{sign}\left(\frac{\Gamma_1\Gamma_2\Gamma_3}{\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3}\right) = \text{sign}(W_0)$$

where

$$W_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\Gamma_1\Gamma_2} + \frac{1}{\Gamma_2\Gamma_3} + \frac{1}{\Gamma_3\Gamma_1} \,.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ is bounded precisely when $W_0 > 0$. In this case $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ is identified with S^2_μ, the sphere of radius $|\mu|$

$$S^2_\mu : \quad x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = \mu^2 \,, \tag{24}$$

parametrized by

$$(x, y, z) = \left(\sqrt{\mu^2 - I_1^2}\, \cos 2\varphi_1, \sqrt{\mu^2 - I_1^2}\, \sin 2\varphi_1,\ I_1 \right) .$$

If $W_0 < 0$ then $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ is identified with the two-sheeted hyperboloid H_μ given by

$$H_\mu^2 : \quad z^2 - x^2 - y^2 = \mu^2 , \tag{25}$$

parametrized by

$$(x, y, z) = \left(\sqrt{I_1^2 - \mu^2}\, \cos 2\varphi_1, \sqrt{I_1^2 - \mu^2}\, \sin 2\varphi_1,\ I_1 \right) .$$

In both cases $(I_1, 2\varphi_1)$ are *cylindrical coordinates* of $\mathcal{P}_\mu$. We will refer to $\{(0, 0, -\mu), (0, 0, \mu)\} \subset \mathcal{P}_\mu$ as the *singular points* of the coordinate chart.

Let us refine the description of $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ in the non-compact case $W_0 < 0$. There are two subcases: either (i) $A < 0$ and $B > 0$ or (ii) $A > 0$ and $B < 0$. Assume that $\mu > 0$. It is easy to see that the dynamics takes place in the upper or lower sheet of the hyperboloid depending on whether (i) or (ii) holds. If $\mu < 0$ then the correspondence is reversed. Thus, the reduced space P_μ is actually one of the two sheets of the hyperboloid.

Remark 3.1. The classification of the dynamics in the compact and non-compact cases is discussed in [8], using W_0 as classification parameter. Interestingly, $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ is obtained as a coadjoint orbit in $\mathfrak{u}(2)^*$. This is shown using the Poisson structure of the 3-vortex problem expressed in terms of symmetry invariants. A further account of this point of view is found in [14].

3.4 Metric and connection

The next step is to define a connection on the bundle $\pi_\mu : \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_\mu$. Consider the Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}^N$ defined by

$$\big\langle U, V \big\rangle(p) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Gamma_k\, u_k\, \bar{v}_k, \tag{26}$$

where $p \equiv (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^N$, $U \equiv (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in T_p\mathbb{C}^N$ and $V \equiv (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in T_p\mathbb{C}^N$ with the associated Riemannian metric and symplectic form:

$$\langle\!\langle U, V \rangle\!\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{Re}\langle U, V \rangle , \quad \Omega_0(U, V) = -\,\mathrm{Im}\langle U, V \rangle . \tag{27}$$

Note that this Hermitian metric makes $\mathbb{C}^N$ a Hermitian manifold with respect to the complex structure associated to the symplectic form. Also, note

that the symplectic form just defined coincides with (1), the symplectic form for the N-vortex problem. It is easy to see that $\langle\!\langle\ ,\ \rangle\!\rangle$ is G-invariant and that the *angular impulse* takes the form

$$\Theta_0(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2}\,\langle\!\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}\rangle\!\rangle\ , \quad \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^N\,.$$

Remark 3.2. If $W_0 < 0$ then the metric $\langle\!\langle\ ,\ \rangle\!\rangle$ is indefinite. That this is so can be seen from the expression for the metric in (r, s) coordinates computed below. This, however, plays no role in the construction of the horizontal bundle and the connection 1-form because, provided that the angular impulse is not zero, the vertical space is definite.

Let us return to the case $N = 3$. The pullback of the metric to $\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)$ induces a connection on $\pi_\mu : \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_\mu$ by identifying the horizontal bundle with the metric-orthogonal to the vertical bundle:

$$H_p = V_p^{\perp} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{w_p \in T_p\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu) \mid \langle\!\langle w_p, v_p\rangle\!\rangle = 0\}\,,$$

where $v_p = \text{span}\left(\xi_{J^{-1}(\mu)}(p)\right)$, for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{so}(2)$, $\xi \neq 0$.

The level set $\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu) \subset P_0$ is parametrized by coordinates $(I_1, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$, keeping $I_2 = -\mu$ fixed and $(\tilde{K}_x, \tilde{K}_y) = (0, 0)$. Also, the action of $SO(2)$ only affects φ_2, as stated in (22). Thus, the vertical operator associated to the metric-orthogonal connection is given by the following:

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\pi : E \longrightarrow B$ be a principal fiber bundle with typical fiber diffeomorphic to the structural group G, of dimension one, and where E is a Riemannian manifold. Suppose that $(q^1, \ldots, q^n)$ are coordinates on E such that $V_p = \text{span}\,(\partial/\partial q^n)$. Equip the fiber bundle with the metric-orthogonal connection, i.e. $H_p = V_p^{\perp}$. Then the connection 1-form is*

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{g_{nn}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_{nk}\, dq^k + dq^n\,,$$

where the g_{ij} are the coefficients of the metric in coordinates $(q^1, \ldots q^n)$.

Proof: We need to verify the properties (5). Assuming that the action $g \cdot p$, where $g \in G$, is independent of $p \in E$, it is sufficient to verify that *i)* $\alpha(v) = v$ for all $v \in V_p$ and *ii)* $\alpha(w) = 0$ for all $w \in H_p$. The first claim is obvious. As for the second, suppose that $w \in H_p$, i.e. $w = w^i \partial/\partial q^i$ with $\sum_{k=1}^n g_{nk} w^k = 0$. Then

$$\alpha(w) = \frac{1}{g_{nn}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_{nk} w^k + g_{nn} w^n \right) = \frac{1}{g_{nn}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} g_{nk} w^k = 0\,. \qquad \square$$

Applied to the bundle $\pi_\mu : \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_\mu$ the connection 1-form has the expression:

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\left\langle\!\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_2} \right\rangle\!\right\rangle} \left[\left\langle\!\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial I_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_2} \right\rangle\!\right\rangle dI_1 + \left\langle\!\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_2} \right\rangle\!\right\rangle d\varphi_1 \right] + d\varphi_2 \,. \tag{28}$$

To compute the metric coefficients in coordinates (I_i, φ_i) let us first compute the metric coefficients in coordinates (j_i, θ_i). Let $\mathbb{T}_1$ be the matrix representation (with respect to the canonical basis) of the linear transformation $T_1 : \mathbb{C}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ introduced in subsection 3.1. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 & & \\ & \Gamma_2 & \\ & & \Gamma_3 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{T}_1^T \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{\text{tot}} & & \\ & A & \\ & & B \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{T}_1 \,,$$

with A and B defined in (17). It follows that, in coordinates $(r, s) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, the matrix representation of the Hermitian metric restricted to P_0 is $\begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & B \end{pmatrix}$. Moreover, from (18),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial j_1} = \left(\frac{e^{i\theta_1}}{\sqrt{A}\,\sqrt{2j_1}}, 0 \right) , \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial j_2} = \left(0, \frac{e^{i\theta_2}}{\sqrt{B}\,\sqrt{2j_2}} \right) ,$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} = \left(\frac{i\,\sqrt{2j_1}\,e^{i\theta_1}}{\sqrt{A}}, 0 \right) , \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} = \left(0, \frac{i\,\sqrt{2j_2}\,e^{i\theta_2}}{\sqrt{B}} \right) .$$

Now, from (20) and (21), it is easy to compute:

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial I_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_2} \right\rangle = \left\langle -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial j_1} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial j_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2} \right\rangle = 0 \,,$$
$$\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_2} \right\rangle = \left\langle -\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2} \right\rangle = 2(j_2 - j_1) = 2I_1 \,,$$
$$\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_2} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2} \right\rangle = 2(j_1 + j_2) = 2I_2 \,.$$

Note that these three expressions are real, and so they give the metric coefficients in coordinates $I_1, \varphi_1, \varphi_2$. Thus, expression (28) for the vertical operator takes the form $\text{ver} = (I_1/I_2)d\varphi_1 + d\varphi_2$. Hence, the connection one-form on $\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)$, using (23), is

$$\alpha = -\frac{I_1}{\mu} d\varphi_1 + d\varphi_2 \,. \tag{29}$$

3.5 The geometric phase

In this subsection we proceed to compute the geometric phase for the three-vortex problem.

Geometric phase as a line integral

Let $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto c_\mu(t) = (I_1(t), \varphi_1(t))$ be a closed loop in $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ and assume it does not pass through a singular point of the coordinate chart. A section $\sigma : \mathcal{P}_\mu \longrightarrow J^{-1}(\mu)$ is obtained by fixing the direction of either vector r or s (defined in (15)), that is to say, by keeping either θ_1 or θ_2 constant. From (21) and (29) we get that the connection 1-form, expressed in $(I_1, I_2, \varphi_1, \theta_1)$ coordinates, is

$$\alpha = \left(1 - \frac{I_1}{\mu}\right) d\varphi_1 + d\theta_1$$

and thus its pull-back by the section is

$$\sigma^*\alpha = \left(1 - \frac{I_1}{\mu}\right) d\varphi_1 \,.$$

The curvature of α is the 2-form

$$D\alpha := d\sigma^*\alpha = -\frac{1}{\mu} dI_1 \wedge d\varphi_1 \,.$$

Hence, the geometric phase associated to a horizontal lift $\bar{c}(t) = (I_1(t), \varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t))$ over $c_\mu(t)$, computed from (7), is given by

$$\theta_g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\oint_{c_\mu} \sigma^*\alpha = -\int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{I_1(t)}{\mu}\right) \dot{\varphi}_1(t)\, dt \,, \tag{30}$$

after identifying $\exp : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{SO}(2)$ with the $\mathrm{mod}\, 2\pi$ map.

Geometric phase as a double integral

Let us now express the geometric phase in terms of a double integral. We begin by endowing P_μ with an area element:

Definition 3.4. Let ω_μ be the 2-form on the reduced space $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ given by

$$\omega_\mu := 2\mu\, dI_1 \wedge d\varphi_1 \,, \quad \mu \neq 0 \,.$$

Give $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ the orientation induced by ω_μ.

Remark 3.5. Let us comment on the geometric meaning of ω_μ. Endow $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the standard metric $ds^2 = dx^2+dy^2+dz^2$ if $W_0 > 0$ (the compact case) or the *hyperbolic metric* $ds^2 = dz^2 - dx^2 - dy^2$ if $W_0 < 0$ (the non-compact case). Then, for both cases,

$$\mathcal{P}_\mu = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \|(x, y, z)\|^2 = \mu^2\}, \quad \mu \neq 0$$

with he norm $\|\ \|$ induced by the corresponding metric. It is easy to verify that the area element on $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ induced by ds^2 is, in both cases,

$$dA = 2\,|\mu|\, dz \wedge d\varphi_1$$

with coordinates (z, φ_1) defined according to (24)–(25). Thus, if $\mu > 0$ and provided that we choose the appropriate metric (or pseudo-metric) on $\mathbb{R}^3$ depending on $\operatorname{sign} W_0$, the 2-form ω_μ is the area element of P_μ as an embedded surface on $\mathbb{R}^3$. For a general $\mu \neq 0$, the orientation induced by ω_μ depends on $\operatorname{sign} \mu$.

Consider a simple closed trajectory $c_\mu(t)$ on $\mathcal{P}_\mu$. Assume initially that $W_0 > 0$. From the two regions having c_μ as boundary, let U be the one with respect to which $c_\mu(t)$ is positively oriented. Then, by Stoke's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_g &= -\operatorname{sign}(\mu) \iint_U D\alpha = \frac{\operatorname{sign}(\mu)}{\mu} \iint_U dI_1 \wedge d\varphi_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\mu^2} \iint_U 2|\mu|\, dI_1 \wedge d\varphi_1 = \frac{1}{2\mu^2} \iint_U \omega_{|\mu|}\end{aligned}$$

where the factor $\operatorname{sign}(\mu)$ takes care of the fact that the orientations induced by ω_μ and $dI_1 \wedge d\varphi_1$ are opposite if $\mu < 0$. To further simplify this expression, let U_1 be te radial projection of U on the unit sphere. Since $\omega_{|\mu|} = \mu^2 \omega_1$, where ω_1 is the standard area element on the unit sphere, we obtain

$$\theta_g = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{U_1} \omega_1 \qquad (\operatorname{mod} 2\pi). \tag{31}$$

In this simplified formula we have added that the result is to be taken modulo addition of an integer multiple of 2π, since θ_g is an angle.

If $W_0 < 0$ then there is just one region U whose boundary is c_μ. In this case assume that $c_\mu(t)$ is positively oriented with respect to U. The argument leading to formula (31) goes through as before, noticing that now U_1 is the projection of U on the normalized two-sheeted hyperboloid H_1^2 and ω_1 is its area form according to definition 3.4.

In summary:

The geometric phase associated to a closed loop bounding a region U on $\mathcal{P}_\mu$, positively oriented, equals half the area projected by U on the concentrical unit sphere (for the compact case) or on the normalized two-sheeted hyperboloid (for the non-compact case).

Remark 3.6. The reader might object that the application of Stoke's theorem requires that c_μ be homotopic to a point within the chart given by coordinates (I_1, φ_1). For example, when $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ is a sphere, this fails to be the case if c_μ encloses the "north pole" of $\mathcal{P}_\mu$. In proposition A.4 we prove that formula (31) holds even in this case. Indeed, the above formula for the geometric phase uses the Jacobi-Bertrand-Haretu coordinates and the canonical transformation T_1 as defined by relations (15). However, there is nothing sacrosanct about the sequence in which the vortices were considered, i.e. first defining the vector from vortex *one* to vortex *two*, and then the vector from their center of vorticity to vortex *three*. In Appendix A it is shown that the geometric phase formula is essentially unaltered by considering the other two sequences.

3.6 The dynamic phase

The computation of the dynamic phase is presented in this subsection. The expression for the dynamic phase turns out to be surprisingly simple and the reason for this is rather interesting.

We begin by recalling Leonard Euler's remarkable theorem for homogeneous functions:

Theorem 3.7 (Euler 1755)**.** *If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is homogeneous of degree $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ (i.e. $f(\lambda \mathbf{q}) = \lambda^\alpha f(\mathbf{q})$, $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, for all $\lambda > 0$) then*

$$\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{q}) = \alpha\, f(\mathbf{q})\,.$$

Proof. Assuming f homogeneous of degree α,

$$\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{q}) = \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} f\big((1+t)\mathbf{q}\big) = \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} (1+t)^\alpha f(\mathbf{q}) = \alpha f(\mathbf{q})\,.$$

□

What will be relevant to us is the

Corollary 3.8. *If f is homogeneous of degree 1 then $\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \ln(f(\mathbf{q})) = 1$.*

Proof. $\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \ln(f(\mathbf{q})) = (\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{q}))\, / f(\mathbf{q}) = 1.$ □

From (15) it is clear that, given $\lambda > 0$, the rescaling

$$(Z_0, r, s) \mapsto (\lambda^{1/2} Z_0, \lambda^{1/2} r, \lambda^{1/2} s)$$

implies the rescaling $z_i \mapsto \lambda^{1/2} z_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, which in turn implies the rescaling

$$b_i \mapsto \lambda b_i\,, \quad i = 1, 2, 3\,. \tag{32}$$

(Recall that $b_i = |z_j - z_k|^2$, $(i, j, k) \in C_3$.) In particular, if the center of vorticity Z_0 is pinned at the origin, the rescaling $(r, s) \mapsto (\lambda^{1/2} r, \lambda^{1/2} s)$ implies (32). Furthermore, from (18) and (20), we see that the rescaling $(I_1, I_2) \mapsto (\lambda I_1, \lambda I_2)$ implies (32) as well. In other words,

Proposition 3.9. *With the center of vorticity kept at the origin, b_i is a homogeneous function of the variables I_1, I_2 of degree one, for $i = 1, 2, 3$.*

From corollary 3.8 and proposition 3.9 we obtain at once

Proposition 3.10. *If the center of vorticity is at the origin, then*

$$I_1 \frac{\partial \ln b_i}{\partial I_1} + I_2 \frac{\partial \ln b_i}{\partial I_2} = 1\,, \quad i = 1, 2, 3\,.$$

In terms of coordinates $(I_1, I_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$, the hamiltonian takes the form

$$h = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Gamma_j \Gamma_k \ln b_i(I_1, I_2, \varphi_1)\,, \quad (i, j, k) \in C_3\,.$$

Its Hamiltonian vector field is

$$X_h = \frac{\partial h}{\partial \varphi_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial I_1} - \sum_{(i,j,k) \in C_3} \Gamma_j \Gamma_k \left(\frac{\partial \ln b_i}{\partial I_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + \frac{\partial \ln b_i}{\partial I_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_2} \right).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(X_h) &= \frac{1}{I_2} (I_1 d\varphi_1 + I_2 d\varphi_2)(X_h) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi I_2} \sum_{(i,j,k) \in C_3} \Gamma_j \Gamma_k \left(I_1 \frac{\partial \ln b_i}{\partial I_1} + I_2 \frac{\partial \ln b_i}{\partial I_2} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi\mu} (\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_2 \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_3 \Gamma_1) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from proposition 3.10. Thus,

Theorem 3.11. *Let $c(t)$ be the orbit of the system in $\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)$ corresponding to a closed orbit $c_\mu(t) \in \mathcal{P}_\mu$ with period T in the three-vortex problem. Then its dynamic phase is*

$$\theta_d(T) = \int_0^T \alpha\left(X_h(c(t))\right)\, dt = -\frac{\Gamma_1\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_2\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_3\Gamma_1}{4\pi\mu}\, T\,. \tag{33}$$

That is to say, the dynamic phase corresponding to a closed orbit in $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ is proportional to its period, the constant of proportionality being equal to (modulo a constant multiplicative factor) the virial (3) divided by the second moment of circulation (23).

3.7 Total phase

From (31) and (33), the total phase, which is the group composition of the geometric phase and the dynamic phase, can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{\text{tot}} &= \theta_g + \theta_d, \pmod{2\pi} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\iint_{U_1} \omega_1 + \frac{V_0}{4\pi I_2}\, T, \pmod{2\pi}\end{aligned}$$

where U_1 is the radial projection of U on the normalized reduced space $\mathcal{P}_1$ and ω_1 is the 2-form given in definition 3.4 with $\mu = 1$.

3.8 The case of identical vortices

Before ending this section, the case of identical vortices, $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_3 = \gamma$, is discussed since it allows comparisons with two previous works in the literature on point vortices.

Comparison with the work of Aref and Pomphrey. The dynamic phase formula (33) becomes more useful due an interesting exact solution derived by Aref and Pomphrey [3]. Introducing the variable $I := (I_1/I_2)^2$, they show that the (I_1, φ_1) system, being a two-dimensional Hamiltonian system, can be reduced to a quadrature which has an explicit solution in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions. This leads to an exact solution for the time period of the rotation in terms of elliptic integrals. Some details of their derivation are recalled in Appendix B, but the main result is as follows.

The time period of the I variable is expressed by one of the following relations,

$$T_a = \frac{16\pi\lambda^2 I_2 K(m_a)}{3\gamma^2\omega_a}, \quad 0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$
$$T_b = \frac{16\pi\lambda^2 I_2 K(m_b)}{3\gamma^2\omega_b}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < \lambda < 1,$$

where the parameter λ is defined as

$$\lambda^2 := e^{-4\pi H/\gamma^2}/(4I_2^3)$$

and $K(m)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind with m the modulus of the elliptic function. The definitions of $m_a, m_b, \omega_a, \omega_b$ are given in Appendix B. The time period of the closed orbit of the (I_1, φ_1) is some integral multiple n of T_a or T_b. Since all quantities in the T_a, T_b relations are obtained from the initial configuration of the vortices, it follows that, *provided n can be determined, the dynamic phase (33) can be predicted from the initial configuration of the identical vortices.*

Comparison with the work of Kuznetsov and Zaslavsky. In the interesting work of Kuznetsov and Zaslavsky [22], the chaotic advection of a tracer particle in the flow field of three identical point vortices is studied. In the appendix of that paper, a formula for the rotation, i.e. the total phase, of the vortex triangle is also derived. However, the formula is an integral formula and their approach is not in the framework of reconstruction phases and Hamiltonian systems with symmetry. Consequently, the insight that the rotation angle can be split into a dynamic phase and geometric phase is not obtained.

4 Reconstruction phases with four identical vortices

In the four vortex problem, the investigation of periodic orbits on the symmetry reduced spaces has not been as well studied. Aref and Pomphrey [3] show that such periodic orbits exist, at least, for the case of identical vortices exhibiting a discrete symmetry corresponding to rectangular configurations. These trajectories can be solved exactly, as mentioned in Novikov's earlier paper [31]. The discrete symmetry is preserved by the motion.

It is shown in this section that the methods of the previous sections can also be applied to the four vortex problem. Expressions for geometric and dynamic phases, for periodic orbits on symmetry reduced spaces, are obtained analogous to those in the three vortex problem. Only the case of identical vortices is considered but the methods should be applicable to non-identical vortices as well.

4.1 Coordinate transformations

Let us consider a system of four vortices, each with vortex strength γ, with position coordinates $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4$. Introducing the matrix $\mathbb{H}_0$, write the Hermitian metric given in (26) as

$$\langle \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle = \mathbf{z}^t \, \mathbb{H}_0 \, \boldsymbol{\zeta} \,,$$

with $\mathbb{H}_0 = \gamma \, \mathbb{I}_4$. Here $\mathbb{I}_k$ is the $k \times k$ identity matrix, $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ and $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4)$.

The coordinate transformations (similar to Aref and Pomphrey) for four identical vortices proceed as follows. The first canonical transformation

$$T_1 : (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \mapsto \left(q_n + i \, p_n\right)_{n=0}^{3}$$

is a discrete Fourier transform (DFT) given by

$$q_n + i \, p_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} z_\alpha \exp\left[\frac{i \, 2\pi n(\alpha - 1)}{N}\right], \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

with N=4. By Parseval's theorem, the DFT preserves the Hermitian form: $\langle T_1 \mathbf{z}, T_1 \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle$. In other words,

$$\mathbb{H}_1 = \mathbb{H}_0 \,,$$

where $\mathbb{H}_1$ denotes the matrix of the Hermitian metric in the coordinates $\{q_n + i \, p_n\}$. Note also that $q_0 + i \, p_0 = (z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + z_4)/2 = 2Z_0$, where Z_0 is the center of vorticity.

The second transformation $T_2 : (q_n + i \, p_n) \mapsto (j_n, \theta_n)$ is given by

$$\sqrt{2j_n} \exp i\theta_n = q_n + i \, p_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \,.$$

Note that, while $T_2 : \mathbb{C}^4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^8$, T_2^{-1} can be extended to a map between two complex vector spaces

$$S_2 : \mathbb{C}^8 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^4 \,,$$

so that $T_2^{-1} = S_2|_{\mathbb{R}^8}$. The pull-back of the Hermitian metric in $\mathbb{C}^4$ to $\mathbb{C}^8$ is given by the matrix $\mathbb{H}_2 := (DS_2)^T \cdot \mathbb{H}_1 \cdot DS_2$. Towards obtaining its components, let $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, r_1, r_2, r_3)$ with $r_k = q_k + i\, p_k$. We first compute

$$\frac{\partial r_k}{\partial j_k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j_k}} e^{i\,\theta_k}\,, \quad \frac{\partial r_k}{\partial \theta_k} = \sqrt{2j_k} i\, e^{i\,\theta_k}\,.$$

Thus $\langle \partial/\partial j_k, \partial/\partial j_k\rangle := \langle \partial\,\mathbf{r}/\partial j_k, \partial\,\mathbf{r}/\partial j_k\rangle = \gamma/(2j_k)$. Proceeding in a similar fashion it is easy to compute:

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial j_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial j_l} \right\rangle = \frac{\delta'_{kl}}{2j_k}\,, \quad \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial j_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_l} \right\rangle = -i\,\delta'_{kl}\,, \quad \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_l} \right\rangle = \delta'_{kl}\, 2j_k\,,$$

where $\delta'_{kl} = \gamma$ if $k = l$ and zero otherwise. Hence, the matrix of the Hermitian metric in coordinates (j_k, θ_k), is given by

$$\mathbb{H}_2 = \gamma \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{J}^{-1} & -i\,\mathbb{I}_4 \\ i\,\mathbb{I}_4 & \mathrm{J} \end{pmatrix}\,, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathrm{J} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} j_0 & & & \\ & j_1 & & \\ & & j_2 & \\ & & & j_3 \end{pmatrix}\,.$$

The third transformation $T_3 : (j_n, \theta_n) \mapsto (I_n, \varphi_n)$ is achieved by means of the type II generating function

$$G_2 = -\varphi_0 j_0 - j_1\,(-2\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 + \varphi_3) - j_2\,(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 + \varphi_3) - j_3\,(\varphi_1 + 2\varphi_2 + \varphi_3)$$

which, with the equations $\theta_k = \partial G_2/\partial j_k$, $I_k = \partial G_2/\partial \varphi_k$, gives $(I_0, \varphi_0) = (j_0, \theta_0)$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} \\ \boldsymbol{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & \\ & B^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{j} \\ \boldsymbol{\theta} \end{pmatrix}\,, \tag{34}$$

where $(\mathbf{j}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = (j_1, j_2, j_3; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ and $(\mathbf{I}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) = (I_1, I_2, I_3; \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3)$, and

$$A = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\,, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\,.$$

The generating function G_2 has been chosen so that $A^t B = \mathbb{I}_3$, which is easily checked.

Note that $I_0 = 2|Z_0|^2$, so that keeping the center of vorticity at the origin amounts to $I_0 = 0$. The corresponding indeterminate angle φ_0 is ignorable.

Assuming this is the case, we only need to keep coordinates $(\mathbf{I}, \boldsymbol{\varphi})$ to describe the system. The Hermitian metric in these coordinates is

$$\mathbb{H}_3 = \gamma \begin{pmatrix} A^t & \\ & B^t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathrm{J}}^{-1} & -i\,\mathbb{I}_3 \\ i\,\mathbb{I}_3 & \tilde{\mathrm{J}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & B \end{pmatrix} = \gamma \begin{pmatrix} A^t \tilde{\mathrm{J}}^{-1} A & -i\,\mathbb{I}_3 \\ -i\,\mathbb{I}_3 & B^t \tilde{\mathrm{J}} B \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\tilde{\mathrm{J}}$ denotes the diagonal matrix J minus the j_0 entry, with the entries j_1, j_2, j_3 viewed as linear functions of I_1, I_2, I_3 according to (34). For our purposes we only need to explicitly compute

$$B^t \tilde{\mathrm{J}} B = 2 \begin{pmatrix} -I_1 + I_3 & -I_1 + I_2 + I_3 & I_1 \\ -I_1 + I_2 + I_3 & I_2 + 2I_3 & I_2 \\ I_1 & I_2 & I_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The metric and symplectic form in coordinates $(\mathbf{I}, \boldsymbol{\varphi})$ can now be obtained from relations (27). In this manner, from the expression for $\mathbb{H}_3$ given above we get

$$\mathbb{M}_3 = \gamma \begin{pmatrix} A^t \tilde{\mathrm{J}} A & \\ & B^t \tilde{\mathrm{J}} B \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{J}_3 = \gamma \mathbb{J}$$

where $\mathbb{M}_3$ and $\mathbb{J}_3$ are, respectively, the matrices of the metric $\langle\!\langle\, , \rangle\!\rangle$ and symplectic form Ω in coordinates $(\mathbf{I}, \boldsymbol{\varphi})$. (Here $\mathbb{J}$ denotes the canonical symplectic matrix.)

From (34) we compute that

$$\varphi_1 = \frac{\theta_2 - \theta_1}{3}, \quad \varphi_2 = \frac{\theta_3 - \theta_2}{3}, \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_3 = \frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3}{3}.$$

Thus, the rotation group $SO(2)$ acts additively on the coordinate φ_3 while φ_1 and φ_2 remain invariant. Since the hamiltonian is $SO(2)$-invariant, φ_3 is a cyclic variable and thus I_3 is a conserved quantity. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= j_1 + j_2 + j_3 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{3} q_k^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{3} p_k^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \left(4 \sum_{k=1}^{4} x_k^2 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{4} y_k^2 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} x_k \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} y_k \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{4} |z_k|^2 = \Theta_0 / \gamma \end{aligned}$$

where Θ_0 is the angular impulse. (Here we have used that the center of vorticity is at the origin.) Using the expression for the symplectic matrix $\mathbb{J}_3$ given above, it is easy to compute that the momentum map $\mathbf{J}$ yielding $X_{\mathbf{J}} = \partial/\partial\varphi_3$ is minus the angular impulse; that is to say,

$$\mathbf{J} = -\gamma\, I_3\,.$$

Therefore the momentum level set $\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)$ is parametrized by (I_1, I_2, φ_1, φ_2, φ_3). The reduced space $\mathcal{P}_\mu = J^{-1}(\mu)/SO(2)$ is a four-dimensional manifold. Coordinates $(I_1, I_2; \varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in \Delta \times \mathbb{T}^2$ define a coordinate chart for $\mathcal{P}_\mu$, where Δ is the open triangle in $\mathbb{R}^2$ bounded by the lines $I_1 = -\mu/\gamma$, $I_2 = -\mu/\gamma$ and $I_2 - I_1 = \mu/\gamma$, and $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}/3)^2$. We will refer to those points in $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ not covered by this chart as its singular set $\mathcal{S}_\mu$.

Remark 4.1. As a point in $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ approaches $\mathcal{S}_\mu$, (I_1, I_2) approaches $\delta\Delta$. If $(I_1, I_2) \in \delta\Delta$, $\{(I_1, I_2)\} \times \mathbb{T}^2$ gets mapped to a circle or a point, the latter being the case precisely when (I_1, I_2) is at a vertex of Δ.

4.2 The connection

The vertical space V_p at any $p \in \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)$ is the linear space spanned by $\partial/\partial\varphi_3$. The horizontal space H_p is metric orthogonal to the vertical space. Let

$$w_p = a_1\frac{\partial}{\partial I_1} + a_2\frac{\partial}{\partial I_2} + b_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_1} + b_2\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_2} + b_3\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_3}\,.$$

Then, from the expression for $\mathbb{M}_3$ given above, the condition $\langle\!\langle w_p, \partial/\partial\varphi_3\rangle\!\rangle = 0$ becomes

$$2\gamma(b_1 I_1 + b_2 I_2 + b_3 I_3) = 0\,.$$

Thus,

$$H_p = \mathrm{span}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial I_1}\,,\ \frac{\partial}{\partial I_2}\,,\ I_3\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_1} - I_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_3}\,,\ I_3\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_2} - I_2\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_3}\right)\,.$$

The connection one-form $\alpha : T\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu) \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by the conditions $\alpha(\partial/\partial\varphi_3) = 1$ and $w_p \in H_p \Rightarrow \alpha(w_p) = 0$, is thus given by

$$\alpha = \frac{I_1}{I_3}d\varphi_1 + \frac{I_2}{I_3}d\varphi_2 + d\varphi_3 \tag{35}$$

or, setting $I_3 = -\mu/\gamma$,

$$\alpha = -\frac{\gamma}{\mu}\,(I_1 d\varphi_1 + I_2 d\varphi_2) + d\varphi_3\,.$$

4.3 Reconstruction phases

We now compute the geometric and dynamic phases.

The geometric phase. The connection 1-form can be written as $\alpha = -\gamma\big((I_1-1)d\varphi_1 + (I_2+1)d\varphi_2 + d\theta_2\big)/\mu$. Choose a section $\sigma : \mathcal{P}_\mu \setminus \mathcal{S}_\mu \longrightarrow J^{-1}(\mu)$ by setting $\theta_2 = \text{const}$. Then the pullback of the connection 1-form is

$$\sigma^*\alpha = -\frac{\gamma}{\mu}\big[(I_1-1)d\varphi_1 + (I_2+1)d\varphi_2\big]$$

and the curvature of the connection is the 2-form

$$D\alpha := d\sigma^*\alpha = -\frac{\gamma}{\mu}\big(dI_1\wedge d\varphi_1 + dI_2\wedge d\varphi_2\big)\,.$$

(The same curvature is obtained, of course, if we use a section given by $\theta_1 = \text{const.}$ or $\theta_3 = \text{const.}$) Therefore, by definition, the geometric phase around a closed orbit on the space $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ with coordinates $(I_1, I_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ is given by

$$\theta_g := \exp\left(-\oint_{c_\mu}\sigma^*\alpha\right) \equiv \frac{\gamma}{\mu}\oint_{c_\mu}\big[(I_1-1)d\varphi_1 + (I_2+1)d\varphi_2\big]$$

and, provided c_μ encloses a 2-dimensional subdomain $U \subset \mathcal{P}_\mu$, can also be expressed as

$$\theta_g = \frac{\gamma}{\mu}\int_U \big(dI_1\wedge d\varphi_1 + dI_2\wedge d\varphi_2\big)\,.$$

The dynamic phase. Let $\delta_{\alpha\beta} = z_\alpha - z_\beta$. Going back to the discrete Fourier transform T_1, it is easy to see that, with the notation $r_k = q_k + i\,p_k$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_1\\ r_2\\ r_3\\ 0\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & i & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 & -i & 0\\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\delta_{12}\\ \delta_{13}\\ \delta_{24}\\ \delta_{34}\end{pmatrix}\,,$$

and that this is an invertible linear relation. Moreover, $\delta_{14} = \delta_{12} + \delta_{24}$ and $\delta_{23} = \delta_{13} - \delta_{12}$. It follows that the hamiltonian can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} h &= -\frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_{\alpha<\beta}\Gamma_\alpha\Gamma_\beta\ln|\delta_{\alpha\beta}|^2\\ &= -\frac{\gamma^2}{4\pi}\sum_{\alpha<\beta}\ln\left|\sum_{k=1}^{3}c_{\alpha\beta}^k\,r_k\right|^2\,,\quad c_{\alpha\beta}^k\in\mathbb{C}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Since $r_k = \sqrt{2j_k}\, e^{i\,\theta_k}$ and j_k depends linearly on I_1, I_2, I_3, we have

$$h = -\frac{\gamma^2}{4\pi} \sum_{\alpha<\beta} \ln\left(b_{\alpha\beta}(I_1, I_2, I_3; \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3)\right)$$

where the $b_{\alpha\beta}$ are homogeneous functions of degree 1 in the variables I_1, I_2, I_3.

The dynamic phase is obtained as before by first computing

$$\xi(t) = \alpha\left(X_H(c(t))\right)$$

where $c(t)$ is the system trajectory on $\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)$. Using the expression for the connection 1-form in (35) and recalling that in $(\mathbf{I}, \boldsymbol{\varphi})$ coordinates the symplectic matrix is $\mathbb{J}_3 = \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I} \\ -\mathbb{I} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi(t) &= \frac{I_1}{I_3}\frac{d\varphi_1}{dt} + \frac{I_2}{I_3}\frac{d\varphi_2}{dt} + \frac{d\varphi_3}{dt}, \\
&= -\frac{1}{\gamma I_3}\left(I_1\frac{\partial H}{\partial I_1} + I_2\frac{\partial H}{\partial I_2} + I_3\frac{\partial H}{\partial I_3}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\mu}\,\mathbf{I}\cdot\nabla_I\, h(\mathbf{I}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) \\
&= \frac{\gamma^2}{4\pi\mu}\sum_{\alpha<\beta}\mathbf{I}\cdot\nabla_I \ln\left(b_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{I}; \boldsymbol{\varphi})\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where ∇_I denotes the gradient with respect to the I_1, I_2, I_3 variables. Therefore, applying corollary 3.8,

$$\xi(t) = \frac{\gamma^2}{4\pi\mu}\sum_{\alpha<\beta} 1 = \frac{3\gamma^2}{2\pi\mu}\,.$$

We thus arrive at

Theorem 4.2. *Let $c(t)$ be the orbit of the system in $\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)$ corresponding to a closed orbit $c_\mu(t) \in \mathcal{P}_\mu$ with period T in the problem of four identical vortices with center of vorticity at the origin. Then its dynamic phase is*

$$\theta_d(T) = \int_0^T \alpha\left(X_h(c(t))\right)\, dt = \frac{3\gamma^2}{2\pi\mu}\,T\,.$$

That is to say, the dynamic phase corresponding to a closed orbit in $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ is proportional to its period, the constant of proportionality being equal to the square of the common vortex strength divided by the moment of circulation (modulo numerical factors).

5 Summary and future directions.

In this paper, pure reconstruction phases, which are drifts in symmetry group directions obtained at the end of symmetry reduced periodic orbits, are computed for the Hamiltonian systems of (a) three point vortices of arbitrary strengths in the plane and (b) four point vortices of identical strengths in the plane, respectively. Such phases are distinguished from the adiabatic phases made popular by the works of Berry and Hannay in that they do not require a (infinitely) slow evolution of any system parameter and are obtained 'purely' by the method of reconstruction–the opposite of the method of symmetry reduction. As per the general theory, relative to the choice of a connection the total phase can be viewed as being composed of a geometric phase and a dynamic phase. The geometric phase in both models is shown to be expressible as integrals over the domains enclosed by the periodic orbits on the symmetry reduced spaces. In model (a), at least, the integral is shown to be related to the area enclosed by the closed loop. The main contribution of this paper, however, is obtaining simple exact formulas that relate the dynamic phase in each model to the time period of the periodic orbit. Dynamic phases are typically expressed as time integrals involving non-trivial integrands. Simple exact formulas for the dynamic phase are rare in the literature on phases. A notable example being Montgomery's formula for the dynamic phase in the motion of a free rigid body [28].

Since the pioneering work of Kirchhoff and Helmholtz, the N-point-vortex model in the plane has seen several developments and extensions. In particular, extensions to $\mathbb{R}^3$ where the vorticity resides on curves—vortex filaments/rings–have been widely studied. An interesting history of work in this area (till the year 1996) may be found in [33]. Discrete vortex models have also found applications in diverse areas of physics and mechanics, extending well beyond classical fluids, for example, in superfluids and magnetohydrodynamics, and also to problems of quantization of classical vortices. A few representative examples of the large amount of work in these areas are [10, 29, 19, 20, 11]. More recently, models in higher dimensional spaces, $\mathbb{R}^4$ and higher, for both classical and superfluids have also been developed [23, 16, 13, 39, 18].

A future endeavor would be to apply the techniques of this paper to these extensions. Hamiltonian models of such systems (in spaces $\mathbb{R}^3$ and higher) are in general infinite dimensional. Some special configurations, such as axisymmetric circular vortex rings, allow a finite dimensional representation and indeed reconstruction phases have been computed for such models [38]. Numerical simulations in the papers [34, 17], which have different objectives

from this work, strongly suggest that reconstruction phases may exist even in infinite dimensional models of vortex rings. Basic ideas used in this paper such as the metric, the symmetry groups and the connection 1-form can presumably be carried over to such models. However, the canonical transformations and the characterization of the symmetry reduced spaces are ideas which greatly benefit from the finite dimensionality of the point vortex model. Extending these to infinite dimensional models could prove to be challenging. A more promising direction is to apply the ideas of this paper to N-point-vortex models developed for 2-dimensional compact boundaryless surfaces; see, for example, [7] which also contains a good list of references. These are again finite dimensional systems, with the underlying curvature of the surface and its compactness strongly influencing the dynamics of the point vortices. It would be interesting to study reconstruction phases in these models for cases where there exist symmetries and symmetric periodic orbits.

Acknowledgments. BNS is very grateful to Matthew Perlmutter for several discussions on the topic of this paper.

A Appendix: Independence of the choice of a JBH chart.

In this appendix we establish that, in the context of the three-vortex problem, formula (31) for the geometric phase in terms of the area enclosed by a loop c_μ is indeed valid even when c_μ is not homotopic to a point without passing through a singular point of the coordinate chart. Hence the geometric phase is independent of the different possible choices of a Jacobi-Bertrand-Haretu (JBH) coordinate chart.

In section 3.1 we introduced the JBH coordinates by first considering the vector from vortex *one* to vortex *two*, and then the vector from their center of vorticity to vortex *three*. This coordinate chart was denoted T_1, but for the purposes of the following discussion we will add the superscript "(3)", to remind us that in this chart vortex three is the last one taken into account. This choice induces the coordinate chart $\psi^{(3)} : P_0 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2$ given by $\psi^{(3)} = T_3 \circ T_2 \circ T_1^{(3)}$, with T_2 and T_3 the transformations introduced in sections 3.2–3.3. Let us also add the superscript to the coordinates furnished by $\psi^{(3)}$, so that we write

$$\psi^{(3)}(\mathbf{z}) = \left(T_3 \circ T_2 \circ T_1^{(3)}\right)(\mathbf{z}) = \left(I_1^{(3)}, I_2^{(3)}; \varphi_1^{(3)}, \varphi_2^{(3)}\right) ,$$

with $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3) \in P_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^3$.

Likewise there are two other JBH-charts that can be considered, namely $T_1^{(k)}$, $k = 1, 2$, constructed by first taking the vector $r^{(k)}$ from vortex i to vortex j and then the vector $s^{(k)}$ from their centers of vorticity to vortex k. The coordinate chart $\psi^{(k)} = T_3 \circ T_2 \circ T_1^{(k)}$ is thus defined, yielding the coordinates $\left(I_1^{(k)}, I_2^{(k)}; \varphi_1^{(k)}, \varphi_2^{(k)}\right)$, $k = 1, 2$. (The transformations T_2 and T_3 remain unchanged.)

If $W_0 > 0$, each coordinate chart $\psi^{(k)}$ has two *singular points* associated to it, corresponding to $I_1^{(k)} = \pm\mu$, $\varphi_1^{(k)} \in [0, \pi]$. These correspond to i) binary collision of vortices i and j, and ii) vortex k positioned at the center of vorticity of vortices i and j. On the sphere $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ these correspond to two antipodal points. Note that the singular points of coordinate charts $\psi^{(1)}, \psi^{(2)}, \psi^{(3)}$ all lie on the same great circle. If $W_0 < 0$ then each coordinate chart $\psi^{(k)}$ has only one singular point associated to it, corresponding to either binary collision or a collinear configuration.

Proposition A.4 below effectively shows that formula (31) for the geometric phase does not depend on the choice of coordinate chart. Before stating it let us discuss some preliminary notions and lemmas.

Orientation

Let us recall some basic facts about orientable two-dimensional manifolds and establish some terminology.

Let M be an oriented 2-dim manifold and ω a non-degenerate 2-form defined on it. We say that ω is compatible with the orientation of M if for every $p \in M$ and every pair of vectors $u, v \in T_pM$, $\omega(u, v) > 0$ iff (u, v) are positively oriented. In this manner, given an orientable 2-dim manifold M, a non-degenerate 2-form ω on M induces an orientation of M.

Let R be a region in M with smooth boundary δR. An orientation in δR is induced by the following requirement: if $\gamma(t)$ is a (local) parametrization of δR compatible with its orientation then, for every $u \in T_{\gamma(t)}M$, the pair $(\dot{\gamma}(t), u)$ is positively oriented precisely when u points inward R. The orientation of δR thus defined is *the orientation of δR induced by R* or *the positive orientation of δR with respect to R*.

If $\gamma = \delta R$ with a given orientation, we say that R *is compatible with* γ if γ is positively oriented with respect to R. Finally, if γ is an oriented curve, $-\gamma$ denotes the same curve with the opposite orientation.

Geometric phase formula

We first consider the compact case $W_0 > 0$. Let S^2_ρ denote the 2-dim sphere of radius $|\rho| \neq 0$ and S^2 the unit sphere.

Definition A.1. Given U a region on S^2_ρ, let

$$\mathcal{A}(U) := \frac{1}{2} \iint_{U_1} \omega_1$$

where U_1 is the concentric radial projection of U on S^2 and ω_1 is the standard area element on the unit sphere. In other words, $\mathcal{A}(U)$ *is the semi-area of the projection of* U *on the unit sphere.*

Lemma A.2. *Let* U *be a region on* S^2_ρ*. Then* $\mathcal{A}(S^2_\rho \setminus U) = 2\pi - \mathcal{A}(U)$*.*

Proof. Follows readily from $\mathcal{A}(S^2) = 2\pi$. □

Recall that: i) since we are assuming $\mu \neq 0$ and $W_0 > 0$, we have that $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ coincides with S^2_μ; ii) we have chosen a global section σ on $\mathcal{P}_\mu \setminus \{N, S\}$, where N and S are the singular points of chart $\psi^{(k)}$, with respect to which $\sigma^*\alpha = (1 - I_1/\mu)\, d\varphi_1$, where α is the connection 1-form defined in (29); and iii) we have chosen the orientation on $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ induced by the 2-form $\omega_\mu = 2\mu\, dI_1 \wedge d\varphi_1$ (see definition 3.4).

Lemma A.3. *Let* R *be a region in* $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ *(not necessarily simply connected), such that its closure does not contain a singular point of chart* $\psi^{(k)}$*. Let* δR *be positively oriented with respect to* R*. Then*

$$-\int_{\delta R} \sigma^*\alpha = \mathcal{A}(R)\,.$$

Proof. By Stokes' theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_{\delta R} \sigma^*\alpha &= \int_{\delta R} \left(-1 + \frac{I_1}{\mu}\right) d\varphi_1 = \frac{\operatorname{sign}(\mu)}{\mu} \iint_R dI_1 \wedge d\varphi_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\mu^2} \iint_R 2|\mu|\, dI_1 \wedge d\varphi_1 = \frac{1}{2\mu^2} \iint_R \omega_{|\mu|} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{R_1} \omega_1 = \mathcal{A}(R)\,, \end{aligned}$$

where R_1 is the concentric radial projection of R on the unit sphere. In the second equality, the factor $\operatorname{sign}(\mu)$ has taken care of the fact that ω_μ and $dI_1 \wedge d\varphi_1$ induce opposite orientations when $\mu < 0$, and in the second to last equality we have used the identity $\omega_{|\mu|} = \mu^2 \omega_1$. □

Proposition A.4. *Let c_μ be an oriented simple closed curve in $\mathcal{P}_\mu$. Assume that c_μ does not pass through a singular point of chart $\psi^{(k)}$. Let U be the region in $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ bounded by c_μ and compatible with its orientation. Then*

$$-\oint_{\delta R} \sigma^*\alpha = \mathcal{A}(U) \qquad (\mathrm{mod}\, 2\pi)\,.$$

Proof. We consider two possible cases.

Case 1: c_μ is contractible to a point without going through a singular point of chart $\psi^{(k)}$. Let n be the number of singular points inside U. Then $n = 0$ or $n = 2$. Let

$$U^* = \begin{cases} U & \text{if} \quad n = 0 \\ \mathcal{P}_\mu \setminus U & \text{if} \quad n = 2 \end{cases}\,.$$

Hence U^* contains no singular points and $(-1)^{n/2} c_\mu$ is positively oriented with respect to U^*. By lemma A.3,

$$-\oint_{c_\mu} \sigma^*\alpha = -(-1)^{n/2} \oint_{(-1)^{n/2} c_\mu} \sigma^*\alpha = (-1)^{n/2} \mathcal{A}(U^*)\,,$$

which equals $\mathcal{A}(U)$ if $n = 0$ and, by lemma A.2, equals $-2\pi + \mathcal{A}(U)$ if $n = 2$. Thus the claim follows.

Case 2: c_μ is not contractible to a point without going through a singular point of chart $\psi^{(k)}$. Say, without loss of generality, that U contains the "north pole" $N = (I_1 = \mu, \varphi_1 \in [0, \pi])$. Let κ_ε be the circle $\{\mu - \varepsilon\} \times [0, \pi]$, with $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that $\kappa_\varepsilon \subset U$. Let V_ε be the region bounded by κ_ε containing N. Orient k_ε positively with respect to V_ε. Then, by lemma A.3,

$$\begin{aligned} -\oint_{c_\mu} \sigma^*\alpha &= -\int_{c_\mu \cup (-\kappa_\varepsilon)} \sigma^*\alpha \; - \; \oint_{\kappa_\varepsilon} \sigma^*\alpha \\ &= \mathcal{A}(U \setminus V_\epsilon) - \int_0^\pi \left(1 - \frac{\mu - \varepsilon}{\mu}\right) d\varphi_1\,. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $\epsilon \to 0$ the claim follows. □

Let us now consider the non-compact case $W_0 < 0$. Let c_μ be a simple closed curve on P_μ, now identified with one sheet of the hyperboloid H^2_μ. Let U be the region bounded by c_μ. Assume that c_μ is positively oriented with respect to U. Definition A.1 is trivially modified with U_1 now the radial projection on the normalized hyperboloid H^2_1. The proof of lemma A.3 and

proposition A.4 go through without changes, except that in case 1 of the proof of proposition A.4 only $n = 0$ needs to be considered (and thus lemma A.2 is not needed). If c_μ is negatively oriented with respect to U, a minus sign is added to the formula in proposition A.4.

Remark A.5. The case when c_μ passes through a singular point of a coordinate chart need not be considered, since these are either equilibrium points or unreachable points of the vortex dynamics.

B Appendix: The Aref-Pomphrey expressions for the time period in the case of three identical vortices.

The ODE satisfied by the variable $I := (I_1/I_2)^2$ is

$$\left(\frac{dI}{d\tau}\right)^2 = -I\left(I^3 + 6I^2 + I(9 - 24\lambda^2) + 8\lambda^2(2\lambda^2 - 1)\right), \tag{36}$$

where $\lambda^2 := e^{-4\pi H/\gamma^2}/(4I_2^3)$, and

$$\tau := \frac{3\gamma^2}{8\pi\lambda^2 I_2}t$$

is time rescaled for the trajectory. Since H and I_2 are conserved quantities, λ^2 is constant for a trajectory on the symplectic reduced space and is fixed by the initial conditions. Note that the Λ^2 and τ defined in [3] are not exactly the same due to the following slight (and unimportant) differences: the definitions of the $(I_1, I_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ coordinates and the assumption of non-unit vortex strength Γ.

Aref and Pomphrey [3] show that the solution of (36), depending on the value of λ, is one of the following:

$$I_{(a)}(\tau;\lambda) = \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 - \mathcal{I}_1\kappa_a \operatorname{sn}^2(\omega_a\tau)}{1 - \kappa_a \operatorname{sn}^2(\omega_a\tau)}, \quad 0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \tag{37}$$

$$I_{(b)}(\tau;\lambda) = \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 - \mathcal{I}_1\kappa_b \operatorname{sn}^2(\omega_b\tau)}{1 - \kappa_b \operatorname{sn}^2(\omega_b\tau)}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < \lambda < 1, \tag{38}$$

where sn denotes the Jacobi elliptic sn function,

$$\kappa_a = \frac{\mathcal{I}_0}{\mathcal{I}_1}, \quad \kappa_b = \frac{\mathcal{I}_2 - \mathcal{I}_0}{\mathcal{I}_2 - \mathcal{I}_1},$$
$$\omega_a = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{I}_2 - \mathcal{I}_0}{\mathcal{I}_1}}, \quad \omega_b = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{I}_0}{\mathcal{I}_2 - \mathcal{I}_1}}$$

and $\mathcal{I}_n(\lambda), n = 0, 1, 2$ are the three roots of the cubic polynomial in (36) for which Aref and Pomphrey give explicit expressions [3].

From the theory of elliptic functions and integrals, (37) and (38) are periodic with period equal to twice the complete elliptic integral of the first kind $K(m)$, where m is the modulus of the elliptic function. Transforming to time t, the time period of the I variable is obtained as

$$T_a = \frac{16\pi\lambda^2 I_2 K(m_a)}{3\gamma^2\omega_a}, \quad T_b = \frac{16\pi\lambda^2 I_2 K(m_b)}{3\gamma^2\omega_b}$$

with

$$m_a^2 = \frac{\mathcal{I}_0(\mathcal{I}_2 - \mathcal{I}_1)}{\mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{I}_2 - \mathcal{I}_0)}, \quad m_b^2 = \frac{\mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{I}_2 - \mathcal{I}_0)}{\mathcal{I}_0(\mathcal{I}_2 - \mathcal{I}_1)}$$

In general, some integral multiple of these time periods will be the time period T of the periodic orbit on the symplectic reduced space—the (I_1, ϕ_1) space. Note that I_1 is proportional to the oriented area of the vortex triangle but $I := (I_1/I_2)^2$ masks orientation information.

References

[1] Adams, M. and T. Ratiu [1988], The three-point vortex problem: commutative and noncommutative integrability, in "Hamiltonian Dynamical Systems", *Cont. Math*, **81**, 245–257.

[2] Aharonov, Y. and D. Bohm [1961], Further considerations on electromagnetic potentials in quantum theory, *Phys. Rev.*, **123**(4), 1511-1524.

[3] H. Aref and N. Pomphrey. Integrable and chaotic motions of four vortices i. the case of identical vortices. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 380(1779):359–387, Aug 1982.

[4] Berry, M. V. [1984], Quantal phase factors accompanying adiabatic changes, *Proc. Roy. Soc. A*, **392**(1802), 45–57.

[5] Berry, M. V. [1987], The adiabatic phase and Pancharatnam's phase for polarized light, *J. Mod. Optics*, **34**(11), 1401–1407.

[6] Blaom, A. D. [2000], Reconstruction phases via Poisson reduction, *Differential Geometry and its Applications*, **12**(3), 231–252.

[7] Boatto, S. and J. Koiller [2015], Vortices on closed surfaces, *Geometry, Mechanics and Dynamics,* Fields Institute Communications, **173**, 185–237, Springer, New York.

[8] Borisov and Lebedev [1998], Dynamics of Three Vortices on a Plane and a Sphere—II. General compact Case. *Regul. Chaotic Dyn.*, **3**(2), 99–114.

[9] Chruściński, D. and Jamiołkowski, A., Geometric Phases in Classical and Quantum Mechanics, Birkhäuser (2004).

[10] Fetter, A.L. [1966], Vortices in an imperfect Bose gas. IV. Translational velocity, *Phys. Rev.*. **151**(1), 100–104.

[11] Goldin, G. A., R. Menikoff and D. H. Sharp [1987], Diffeomorphism groups and quantized vortex filaments, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **58**(21), 2162–2164.

[12] Guichardet, A [1984], On rotation and vibration motions of molecules, *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, Physique Théorique,* **40**(3), 329–342.

[13] Haller, S. and C. Vizman [2003], Non-linear Grassmanians as coadjoint orbits, arXiv:math/0305089.

[14] Hernández-Garduño, A. [2016], Three-Point Vortex Dynamics as a Lie-Poisson Reduced Space, arXiv:1609.05851 [math-ph].

[15] Iwai, T. [1987], A geometric setting for classical molecular dynamics, *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, Physique Théorique,* **47**(2), 199–219.

[16] Jerrard, R. L. [2002], Vortex filament dynamics for Gross-Pitaevsky type equations, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci*, **5**(1), 733–768.

[17] Jerrard, R. L. and D. Smets [2011], On the motion of a curve by its binormal curvature, arXiv:1109.5483.

[18] Khesin, B. [2012], Symplectic structures and dynamics on vortex membranes *Moscow Math. Journal*, **12**(2), pp. 413–434.

[19] Kinney, R., T. Tajima, N. Petiashvili and J. C. McWilliams [1993], Discrete vortex representation of magnetohydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **71**(11), 1712–1715.

[20] Kinney, R., T. Tajima, J. C. McWilliams and N. Petiashvili [1994], Filamentary magnetohydrodynamic plasmas, *Phys. Plasmas*, **1**(2), 260–280.

[21] Kobayashi, S. and K. Nomizu [1996], *Foundations of Differential Geometry, Volume 1*, Wiley Classics Library, Wiley-Interscience.

[22] Kuznetsov, L. and G. M. Zaslavsky [1998], Chaotic advection in the flow field of a three-vortex system, *Phys. Rev E*, **58**(6), 7330–7349.

[23] Lin, F. H. [1998], Complex Ginzburg-Landau equations and dynamics of vortices, filaments, and codimension-2 submanifolds, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **51**(4), 385–441.

[24] Marsden, J. E. [1992], *Lectures on Mechanics*, **174**, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press.

[25] Marsden, J. E., R. Montgomery and T. Ratiu, Reduction, symmetry and phases in mechanics. *Memoirs of the AMS* (1990) vol. 88 (436) pp. (first of 2 numbers).

[26] Marsden, J. E. and T. S. Ratiu, *Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry*, volume 17 of *Texts in Applied Mathematics, vol. 17; 1994, Second Edition, 1999*. Springer-Verlag (1999).

[27] Marsden, J. E. and A. Weinstein [1974] Reduction of symplectic manifolds with symmetry. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **5**, 121–130.

[28] Montgomery, R. [1991], How much does the rigid body rotate? A Berry's phase from the 18th century, *Am. J. Phys.*, **59**(5), 394–398.

[29] Neu, J. C. [2000], Vortices in complex scalar fields, *Physica D*, **43**, 385–406.

[30] Newton, P. K. [1994], Hannay-Berry phase and the restricted three-vortex problem, *Physica D*, **79**, 416–423.

[31] Novikov, E. A. [1975], Dynamics and statistics of a system of vortices, *Sov. Phys.-JETP*, **41**(5), 937–943.

[32] Pancharatnam, S. [1956], Generalized theory of interference, and its applications. Part I. Coherent pencils, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. A*, **44**, 247–262.

[33] Ricca, R. L. [1996], The contributions of Da Rios and Levi-Civita to asymptotic potential theory and vortex filament dynamics, *Fluid Dyn. Res.*, **18**, 245–268.

[34] Ryu, K.-W and D.-J. Lee[1997], Sound radiation from elliptic vortex rings: evolution and interaction, *J. Sound Vibration*, **200**(3), 281–301.

[35] Simon, B [1983], Holonomy, the quantum adiabatic theorem, and Berry's phase, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **51**(24), 2167–2170.

[36] Shashikanth, B. N. and P. K. Newton [1997], Vortex Motion and the Geometric Phase. Part I. Basic Configurations and Asymptotics, *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, **8**, 183–214.

[37] Shashikanth, B. N. and P. K. Newton [2000], Geometric phases for corotating elliptical vortex patches, *J. Math. Phys.*, **41**(12), 8148–8162.

[38] Shashikanth, B. N. and J. E. Marsden [2003], Leapfrogging vortex rings: Hamiltonian structure, geometric phases and discrete reduction, *Fluid Dyn. Res.*, **33**, 333–356.

[39] Shashikanth, B. N. [2012], Vortex dynamics in $\mathbb{R}^4$, *J. Math. Phys.*, **53**, 013103.

[40] Synge, J. L. [1949], On the motion of three vortices, *Canad. J. Math.*, **1**, 257–270.

[41] Warner, F. W. [1983], *Foundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **94**, Springer.